Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/746,592	STRUB ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kathleen M Christman	3713
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) Kathleen M Christman.	(3)	
(2) <u>Dwayne Bentley (attorney for applicant)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 May 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Not applicable		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:  Not applicable		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte cord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Yearly in the		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested clarification of the amendment filed 04/16/2003. This amendment indicated in the remarks section that claims 1-12 were cancelled, however claim 11 was also amended. It was indicated that claim 11 was not intended to be cancelled, and that the statement of such was a typographical error. As such the amendment filed 04/16/2003, was only intended to cancel claims 1-10 and 12.